

I.5.2 QL \rightarrow OWL and DL-Lite_R \rightarrow SROIQ(D)

QL \rightarrow OWL is the sublanguage inclusion obtained by the syntactic restriction according to the definition of QL, see **NR6**. Since by definition, DL-Lite_R is a syntactic restriction of SROIQ(D), DL-Lite_R \rightarrow SROIQ(D) is the corresponding sublogic inclusion.

I.5.3 RL \rightarrow OWL and RL \rightarrow SROIQ(D)

RL \rightarrow OWL is the sublanguage inclusion obtained by the syntactic restriction according to the definition of RL, see **NR6**. Since by definition, RL is a syntactic restriction of SROIQ(D), RL \rightarrow SROIQ(D) is the corresponding sublogic inclusion.

I.5.4 SimpleRDF \rightarrow RDF

SimpleRDF \rightarrow RDF is an obvious inclusion, except that SimpleRDF resources need to be renamed if they happen to have a predefined meaning in RDF. The model translation needs to forget the fixed parts of RDF models. Since this part can always be reconstructed in a unique way, the result is an isomorphic model translation.

I.5.5 RDF \rightarrow RDFS

This is entirely analogous to SimpleRDF \rightarrow RDF.

I.5.6 SimpleRDF \rightarrow SROIQ(D)

A SimpleRDF signature is translated to SROIQ(D) by providing a class P and three roles sub , $pred$ and obj (these reify the extension relation), and one individual per SimpleRDF resource. A SimpleRDF triple (s, p, o) is translated to the SROIQ(D) sentence

$$\top \sqsubseteq \exists U.(\exists sub.\{s\} \sqcap \exists pred.\{p\} \sqcap \exists obj.\{o\}).$$

From an SROIQ(D) model \mathcal{I} , obtain a SimpleRDF model by inheriting the universe and the interpretation of individuals (then turned into resources). The interpretation $P^{\mathcal{I}}$ of P gives P_m , and EXT_m is obtained by de-reifying, i.e.

$$EXT_m(x) := \{(y, z) \mid \exists u.(u, x) \in pred^{\mathcal{I}}, (u, y) \in sub^{\mathcal{I}}, (u, z) \in obj^{\mathcal{I}}\}.$$

RDF \rightarrow SROIQ(D) is defined similarly. The theory of RDF built-ins is (after translation to SROIQ(D)) added to any signature translation. This ensures that the model translation can add the built-ins.

I.5.7 OWL \rightarrow FOL

I.5.7.1 Translation of signatures

$\Phi((\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{I})) = (F, P)$ with

- function symbols: $F = \{a^{(1)} \mid a \in \mathbf{I}\}$
- predicate symbols $P = \{A^{(1)} \mid A \in \mathbf{C}\} \cup \{R^{(2)} \mid R \in \mathbf{R}\}$

I.5.7.2 Translation of sentences

Concepts are translated as follows:

- $\alpha_x(A) = A(x)$
- $\alpha_x(\top) = \text{true}$
- $\alpha_x(\perp) = \text{false}$
- $\alpha_x(\neg C) = \neg \alpha_x(C)$
- $\alpha_x(C \sqcap D) = \alpha_x(C) \wedge \alpha_x(D)$
- $\alpha_x(C \sqcup D) = \alpha_x(C) \vee \alpha_x(D)$
- $\alpha_x(\exists R.C) = \exists y.(R(x, y) \wedge \alpha_y(C))$
- $\alpha_x(\exists U.C) = \exists y.\alpha_y(C)$
- $\alpha_x(\forall R.C) = \forall y.(R(x, y) \rightarrow \alpha_y(C))$
- $\alpha_x(\forall U.C) = \forall y.\alpha_y(C)$
- $\alpha_x(\exists R.\text{Self}) = R(x, x)$
- $\alpha_x(\leq nR.C) = \forall y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}. \bigwedge_{i=1, \dots, n+1} (R(x, y_i) \wedge \alpha_{y_i}(C)) \rightarrow \bigvee_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} y_i = y_j$
- $\alpha_x(\geq nR.C) = \exists y_1, \dots, y_n. \bigwedge_{i=1, \dots, n} (R(x, y_i) \wedge \alpha_{y_i}(C)) \wedge \bigwedge_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} y_i \neq y_j$
- $\alpha_x(\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}) = (x = a_1 \vee \dots \vee x = a_n)$

For inverse roles R^- , $R^-(x, y)$ has to be replaced by $R(y, x)$, e.g.

$$\alpha_x(\exists R^-.C) = \exists y.(R(y, x) \wedge \alpha_y(C))$$

This rule also applies below.

Sentences are translated as follows:

- $\alpha_\Sigma(C \sqsubseteq D) = \forall x. (\alpha_x(C) \rightarrow \alpha_x(D))$
- $\alpha_\Sigma(a : C) = \alpha_x(C)[x \mapsto a]$ ⁴⁶⁾
- $\alpha_\Sigma(R(a, b)) = R(a, b)$
- $\alpha_\Sigma(R \sqsubseteq S) = \forall x, y. R(x, y) \rightarrow S(x, y)$
- $\alpha_\Sigma(R_1; \dots; R_n \sqsubseteq R) = \forall x, y. (\exists z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}. R_1(x, z_1) \wedge R_2(z_1, z_2) \wedge \dots \wedge R_n(z_{n-1}, y)) \rightarrow R(x, y)$
- $\alpha_\Sigma(\text{Dis}(R_1, R_2)) = \neg \exists x, y. R_1(x, y) \wedge R_2(x, y)$
- $\alpha_\Sigma(\text{Ref}(R)) = \forall x. R(x, x)$
- $\alpha_\Sigma(\text{Irr}(R)) = \forall x. \neg R(x, x)$
- $\alpha_\Sigma(\text{Asy}(R)) = \forall x, y. R(x, y) \rightarrow \neg R(y, x)$
- $\alpha_\Sigma(\text{Tra}(R)) = \forall x, y, z. R(x, y) \wedge R(y, z) \rightarrow R(x, z)$

I.5.7.3 Translation of models

- For $M' \in \text{Mod}^{FOL}(\Phi\Sigma)$ define $\beta_\Sigma(M') := (\Delta, \cdot^I) \mathcal{I} = \beta_\Sigma(M') := (\Delta, \cdot^{\mathcal{I}})$ with $\Delta = |M'|$ and $A^I = M'_A, a^I = M'_a, R^I = M'_R, A^{\mathcal{I}} = M'_A, a^{\mathcal{I}} = M'_a, R^{\mathcal{I}} = M'_R$.

Proposition 24 $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{I}} = \{m \in M'_{\text{Thing}} | M' + \{x \mapsto m\} \models \alpha_x(C)\}$ $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{I}} = \{m \in \Delta | M' + \{x \mapsto m\} \models \alpha_x(C)\}$

Proof. By ~~Induction~~ induction over the structure of C .

- $A^{\mathcal{I}} = M'_A = \{m \in M'_{\text{Thing}} | M' + \{x \mapsto m\} \models A(x)\}$ $A^{\mathcal{I}} = M'_A = \{m \in \Delta | M' + \{x \mapsto m\} \models A(x)\}$
- $(\neg C)^{\mathcal{I}} = \Delta \setminus \mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{I}} \stackrel{I.H.}{=} \Delta \setminus \{m \in M'_{\text{Thing}} | M' + \{x \mapsto m\} \models \alpha_x(C)\} = \{m \in M'_{\text{Thing}} | M' + \{x \mapsto m\} \models \neg \alpha_x(C)\}$
 $(\neg C)^{\mathcal{I}} = \Delta \setminus \mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{I}} \stackrel{I.H.}{=} \Delta \setminus \{m \in \Delta | M' + \{x \mapsto m\} \models \alpha_x(C)\} = \{m \in \Delta | M' + \{x \mapsto m\} \models \neg \alpha_x(C)\}$

⁴⁶⁾ $t[x \mapsto a]$ means “in t , replace x by a ”.

The ~~satisfaction condition holds as well~~ other cases are similar.

The satisfaction condition now follows easily.

I.5.8 FOL → CL

This comorphism maps classical first-order logic (FOL) to Common Logic.

A FOL signature is translated to CL.Fol by turning all constants into discourse names, and all other function symbols and all predicate symbols into non-discourse names. A FOL sentence is translated to CL.Fol by a straightforward recursion, the base being translations of predications:

$$\alpha_{\Sigma}(P(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = (P \ \alpha_{\Sigma}(t_1) \ \dots \ \alpha_{\Sigma}(t_n))$$

Within terms, function applications are translated similarly:

$$\alpha_{\Sigma}(f(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = (f \ \alpha_{\Sigma}(t_1) \ \dots \ \alpha_{\Sigma}(t_n))$$

A CL.Fol model is translated to a FOL model by using the universe of discourse as FOL universe. The interpretation of constants is directly given by the interpretation of the corresponding names in CL.Fol. The interpretation of a predicate symbol P is given by using $rel^M(int^M(P))$ and restricting to the arity of P ; similarly for function symbols (using fun^M). Both the satisfaction condition and model-expansiveness of the comorphism are straightforward.

I.5.9 OWL → CL

This comorphism is the composition of the comorphisms described in the previous two sections.

I.5.10 UML class models → CL

This translation has been described in annex F. Translation of signatures is detailed in section F.4.3, translation of sentences in section F.4.5. Models are translated identically.

I.5.11 FOL → CASL

This is an obvious sublogic.

I.5.12 UML class model to OWL

Let $\Sigma = ((C, \leq_C), P, O, A, M)$ be a *class/data type net* representing a UML class model as described in annex F. This net can be translated to OWL2 using the approach described in [76]. The ontology is extended by translating parts of this net and its multiplicity constraints $Mult(\Sigma)$:

— For each class $c \in C$ with superclasses $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n \in C$ (i.e. $c \leq_C c_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$):

```

Class: c
  SubClassOf: c1
  ...
  SubClassOf: cn

```

— For each attribute declaration $c.p : c'$ in P